

#### A Personal Perspective

Dr Tony Sinden
Associate Consultant
Decision Analysis Services Ltd

This document is Copyright ©2013 of Decision Analysis Services Ltd. Its contents wholly or in part shall not be communicated or copied by any means whatsoever to any third party, individual or organisation or government without consent of Decision Analysis Services Ltd.

- My offering
- Themes there are detectable themes, with more than one perspective (e.g. analyst, military, decision maker, historian etc.)
- Trends no great certainty on trends, but I will offer some observations given I now have 6 years data; comparing ISMOR 31 through to ISMOR 36 (years 2014 to 2019)
- Some additional observations will be offered

- For the previous four years, by way of an introduction, I have chosen a word that reflects the conference
- Previous selections were "wicked", "acronyms", "Lanchester" and "glue":

This year's selection is?

#### I cannot decide between:

Rabbit Holes (diversions in studies, often following meaningless trails)

OR

**Comfort Blanket** (study telling customer what he wants to hear and knows already!)



A DISCLAIMER - Managing Expectations of my "analysis"

I will <u>not</u> be offering a comprehensive resume of each presentation, each session or even each day's efforts

Will not provide a <u>full</u> quantitative analysis of the content of the presentations

My perspective may not fit exactly with your impressions or conclusions (if at all) but, hopefully, it will strike a chord (or two)

As previously, I have assigned 2 to 3 "thematic descriptors" to each presentation or poster and added them up – these are the numbers you will see against the descriptors on the next slide



What I term my "Conventional view", with the number of primary thematic descriptors encountered shown in parentheses —

- •Modelling/Analysis\* methods (16) (of which Wargaming (6) -
- Decision support (12) –
- Value for Money (8) –
- Data evaluation and analysis (7) -
- Maritime (6) –

Air Power & Systems (4) -

- Land Operations (3) -
- Military Decision making (3) –
- •Logistics (3) –
- •Historic Analysis (3) –
- Force Mix (3)



What I term my "Conventional view", with the number of primary thematic descriptors encountered shown in parentheses —

- •Modelling/Analysis\* methods (16) (of which Wargaming (6) use of multiple models for complex problems is noticeable
- Decision support (12) wide range of support studied
- •Value for Money (8) slight increase as a driver
- •Data evaluation and analysis (7) both detailed and general data
- •Maritime (6) linked more to platform options than operations
- •Air Power & Systems (4) more as part of battlespace
- Land Operations (3) similar comment to Air
- •Military Decision making (3) support to command still features
- Logistics (3) fallen back from past
- •Historic Analysis (3) not made the "cut" before
- •Force Mix (3) not made the "cut" before



Title/Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Analysis/Models*	16	26	15	16	21	16
Decision Support	10	10	17	9	12	12
Support to Ops	9	5	4	3	3	1
Capability Requirements	6	5	6	2	2	0
Military Decisions	6	5	4	3	5	3
Value for Money	5	4	0	6	7	8
Analysis for Management	5	0	4	1	0	0
Data Evaluation	2	2	9	2	5	7
Risk management				5	2	0
* Of which Wargaming			2	4	9	6



Title/Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapons	2	2	5	4	0	2
Air Power	3	2	4	3	6	4
Maritime	0	5	1	4	3	6
Land	2	2	3	6	11	3
Joint(ery)	0	3	1	0	2	1
Logistics & Supply Chain	0	3	3	1	2	3
Procurement	0	3	7	7	4	0
Cyber	3	1	3	2	0	0
Lessons Learned	0	3	0	0	0	0
Historic Analysis & Force Mix						3
						each



Theme based view (2019) -

- Support to Defence & Military "decision making" continues to feature highly
- Interest in faster and/or innovative techniques for complex conflicts remains significant – Big Debate
- Rise of war gaming continues!, Noted for last two years and obviously a feature this year
- Multiple methods for complex problems
- "Soft issues" and "soft OA" do feature (i.e. behaviours, reasoning, mental models, social impact) but has not as highly as two years ago
- •Improving the ease of use & the transparency of analysis (for both analyst and customer) as last 2 years
- Examination of recent ops (Iraq & Afghanistan) has faded into background



Are themes going to be <u>trends</u>? Using the perspective of 6 years it is possible to offer some observations although the "picture" on trends remains somewhat mixed:

- •Budgets remain tight (less so in USA?) although NATO nations have agreed to modest increases ("Trump influence" or not?). So, VfM remains driver for OA
- •Recognition that conflicts are not getting simpler and a need for OA to examine complexity (definite trend, as noted before). Notable is an interest in bringing a range of approaches to bear. But this may offer challenges in communicating output and possibly VV&A.
- •End of major operations in Middle East (by NATO coalition) has reduced level of <u>direct</u> support to military operations but <u>not</u> wider decision support
- Tackling the current "problems" continues to elicit both use of "older techniques" (re-use) and investment in "new and innovative"



As in previous years I ask the question - Are these themes and trends relevant?



As in previous years I ask the question - Are these themes and trends relevant?

Answer is "Yes". Necessary but are they sufficient?

I repeat my perception is that many conflicts around the world have non-kinetic as well as kinetic aspects. Ops Research studies largely focus on kinetic engagements. So I repeat a couple of previous thoughts:

- •Maybe need to examine conflict avoidance and/or resolution, perhaps? I detect some limited support for this view
- •Extend OR studies to examine the immediate post-kinetic phase of conflict? From UK perspective, linked to Chilcot Inquiry report



And a further thought:

Excellent workshop on Wednesday entitled:

"Is it possible to achieve Value for Money" in Defence"

Given strength and spread of effort on vfm across many countries, does this provide a focus for another Big Debate?



#### So, in summary:

- Continuing down (direct) OR support to military operations,
- •Continuing as before faster, simpler OR techniques, VfM, decision support
- •On the rise wargaming, multiple techniques for complex problems



#### So, in summary:

- Continuing down (direct) OR support to military operations,
- •Continuing as before faster, simpler OR techniques, VfM, decision support
- •On the rise wargaming, multiple techniques for complex problems
- •Last year I suggested that given the rise in the capability of Artificial Intelligence, I anticipated that ISMOR 36 Themes and Trends talk will be brought to you:



#### So, in summary:

- Continuing down (direct) OR support to military operations,
- •Continuing as before faster, simpler OR techniques, VfM, decision support
- •On the rise wargaming, multiple techniques for complex problems
- •Last year I suggested that given the rise in the capability of Artificial Intelligence, I anticipated that ISMOR 36 Themes and Trends talk will be brought to you:
- •A hologram!
- •But you may, or may not, be relieved this has not happened yet?



# **THANK YOU**

ANY COMMENTS?
OBSERVATIONS?